Application No. Applicant(s) 10/594.456 MAJIMA, TAICHI Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit OMAR GHOWRWAL 2463 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) . (1) OMAR GHOWRWAL. (4)____. (2) SEAN FLOOD. Date of Interview: 17 February 2012. □ Telephonic □ Video Conference Type: ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant applicant's representative ⊠ No If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: 17-19. Identification of prior art discussed: _____. Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) Discussed allowability of claim 17 and cancelling claims 18-19 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Agreement was reached. Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or nutroome of the intension, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Derrick W Ferris/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2463